Approved For Release 2002/08/20 : CIA-RDP70S00385

CONTINUE TO THE

Mr. Haver in Hoyer December of Country

Notest 3. Delight De sertiment of State Pffice of Nact-West Thade Delevation of Authority and COCOT List Review

'r. Whitele's June 7 ketter to Mr. Greeneald ourgested so on here the points messioned in the attachment to his letter. Part II of that attachment reduced several points on State's Proposal for a belanting of actionity to the USDel in Paris on exception cases and proceederal matters and for informing the USDel of plans to only at CACAI list washes are compact to the CACAI list washes are compact.

Hering our telephone converention yesterday, we arread to meet to discuss this matter in the new factor at par convenience. In the number, positions the following observations will clarify that we had in aid.

Some of the convents suggest that Conserve interpreted the State proposal of maching that the USECL should approve all emoration cases for Austern Lurope (except for Category A litere) and that habitation review of these cases should be discentimed. This was not our intertion. To woold not except the USECL to approve all cases, elthough recent history indicates that the U.S. will probably have no edjection to a majority of such cases. Moither to be wish to discentime doshington review. To play to continue to send to Converse all decurants and other measures invalintely on receipt. If Converse, on receiving a case, believes it incontent that the UTDEL, before tring estion, so provided with information it does not already wave, so shall be happy to transmit this information to Paris. In any owent, we desire to continue to reserve technical task grup, reports.

The delegation of cuttority sould not codify Countro's regulations on receptate of U.S.-critic composities and technical data and on experts of products arise with U.S.-origin technology and incomposating U.S.-origin parts. COUNT is not a particularly useful forms to devolog information relating to these conscals since the U.E., at least, reduces on wrinciple to police U.S. controls. Assorbingly, we shall continue to rally as Commerce to edvise us when problem of this cort upies and to expedito resolution of related U.S. cases pursuent to CA-4129 of November 30, 1966.

Utilia vill not be operating in a vector. Ty office will continue to keep in close cours with Paris. By diving one URDA greater

State Dept. review completed

OPET RITTE



COLUMN LATER.

- 2 -

responsibility we enthalpric runs reserved being done in Paris and tore and earlier questions being put to applicants. In this way additional background will be evaluable in Washington at the time cases are reviewed here.

In the proposal to delegate authority on procedural changes, Contents operates someon that some changes sould lesson the effective hardsmontation of the enlarge without affecting its coverage. It was one intention that the USDal should continue to refer to hashington processly which would rules either the substance or the effectiveness of the excurage.

In List Review plans, as you point out we have advised the USDel test the second quarter 1968 is the exclusive that that will enable adequate preparation. At the time this execuse us sent, it was understood that this was without projudice to earlier action on the type of unlikteral U.S. initiative we described in programs 3 of our April 13 dreft. We, therefore, would appreciate at an early inte Johnston content on the details set forth in US I D-144 of May 31.

oc: Ap. Theodore L. Man

E/ENT:WARcot:sb 6/14/67

co:		gide	Mr.	Barber Kratzer Morell	25X1A
المنظلة المنظلة على الرئيسة المنظلة ا	1 -				

FROM:	. WA Root	OFFICE SYMBOL
FORM DS - 699	MOTOR	
\	Nessenger Se	rvice
TO:	Marell	ROOM NUMBER
AGENCY (entra	Intelligen	Walney
STREET ADDRES	s 1 - A	& of